## Synthesis and Characterization of a Novel Tetracyclic Ring System
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Derivatives of the hitherto unknown ring system, pyrazolo[4',3':5,6]pyrano[2,3-b]quinoxalin-4(1H)-one, are synthesized in one step from the corresponding 1 -substuituted or 1,3-disubstituted 2-pyrazolin-5-ones and 3 -chloroquinoxaline-2-carbonyl chloride using calcium hydroxide in boiling 1,4-dioxane. The parent system carrying no substituent in positions 1 and 3 is obtained upon treatment of the $1-\mathrm{PMB}$ ( $p$ methoxybenzyl) protected congener with trifluoroacetic acid. Detailed NMR spectroscopic investigations including unambiguous chemical shift assignments of all ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ resonances of the obtained tetracycles are reported.
J. Heterocyclic Chem., 44, 1139 (2007).

## INTRODUCTION

Recently, we reported the preparation of novel heterocyclic ring systems containing a pyrano[2,3-c]-pyrazol-4( 1 H )-one moiety $[1-3]$ as building blocks for biologically active compounds. Specifically, the reaction of 2-pyrazolin-5-ones (tautomers to 5-hydroxypyrazoles [4]) with different $o$-haloarenecarbonyl chlorides under conditions of the Jensen reaction (calcium hydroxide, refluxing 1,4-dioxane [5]) gave acylated pyrazole intermediates, which were then cyclized with sodium hydride in boiling dimethylformamide to polycyclic compounds (Scheme 1). However, we found that with Nheterocyclic acid chlorides carrying an 'activated' halogen atom in ortho position to the ring nitrogen (derived from 2-chloronicotinic acid, 4-chloronicotinic acid, 3-fluoropicolinic acid, 4-chloropyridazine-3-carboxylic acid, and

## Scheme 1

Reported synthesis of pyrido-pyrano[2,3-c]pyrazol-4(1H)-ones




* not isolated with some $o$-halo derivatives of picolinic, nicotinic, 3-pyridazinic, and 2-quinolic acid chlorides

3-chloroquinoxaline-2-carboxylic acid) a spontaneous intramolecular cyclization to the target polycycles was


Synthesis of the acid chloride 2


Synthesis of the tetracyclic title compounds
observed under Jensen reaction condition (Scheme 1) [1,3]. In contrast, no such behavior was noticed in the reactions of 3-chloroisonicotinic acid chloride and of 5-chloro-2-(methylthio)pyrimidine-4-carbonyl chloride [3].

Due to the importance of the N -heterocycle quinoxaline as a structural element in many biologically active compounds [6], even in drugs like Brimonidine (Alphagan ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) $[7,8]$, we aimed at the enlargement of our heterocyclic portfolio to include also the new quinoxalino fused skeleton of type $\mathbf{3}$. The synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{3}$ was envisaged as outlined in Scheme 3 and we were interested in whether the primary product in the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with 2 would undergo spontaneous ring closure during the acylation reaction.
Scheme 4
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Synthesis of the unsubstituted parent ring system

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Since the quinoxaline-2-carbonyl chloride 2 is not commercially available, we planned its synthesis as outlined in Scheme 2 (upper line) according to literature methods. Unfortunately, methylquinoxaline 5 was not oxidized to the corresponding acid $\mathbf{8}$, which has been reported recently by Mahesh et al. [9] using $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{7} /$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ as the oxidation reagent. Even switching to
aqueous $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ did not yield the desired acid. Thus, we changed our plan and introduced the desired carbonyl group to the quinoxaline core in the first reaction step (Scheme 2, lower line). Subsequent transformations according to known procedures gave the acid chloride 2.

Four different pyrazolones (1a-d), either commercially available or easily accessible according to known literature procedures, were reacted with 3-chloroquinoxaline-2carbonyl chloride (2) using calcium hydroxide in boiling

Table 2
${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR shifts of compounds $\mathbf{3}$ (solvents as in Table 1)

| Comp | $\mathrm{N}-1$ | $\mathrm{~N}-2$ | $\mathrm{~N}-5$ | $\mathrm{~N}-10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3a | -185.4 | -85.4 | -38.1 | -97.5 |
| 3b | $-^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $-^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $-^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $-^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 3c | $-^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $-^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $-^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $-^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 3d | -188.9 | -83.0 | -37.9 | -98.0 |
| 3e | $-176.9^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  | -37.9 | -98.6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ too badly soluble. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ only one N -signal found.

1,4-dioxane (Scheme 3). NMR analysis of the products proved that cyclization had already occurred under the conditions of the acylation reaction - no intermediate 4 -aroylpyrazol-5-ols were isolated.

The synthesis of the N1- and C3-unsubstituted compound 3e was achieved by treatment of the N1-PMB ( $p$-methoxybenzyl) protected congener 3d with trifluoroacetic acid (Scheme 4).

Detailed NMR spectroscopic analyses for all prepared compounds are reported. Full and unambiguous assignment for all proton, carbon, and nitrogen resonances

Table 1
${ }^{1}$ H NMR data of compounds 3

| Comp | Solvent | H-6 | H-7 | H-8 | H-9 | H of $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}-\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{H}-3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3a | DMSO- $d_{6}$ | $8.40^{\text {a }}$ | $8.01{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $8.10^{\text {a }}$ | $8.18^{\text {a }}$ | Ph: 7.55 (4), 7.71 (3,5), 7.95 (2,6) | 8.52 |
| 3b | DMSO- $d_{6}$ | $8.41{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $8.00^{\text {a }}$ | $8.09^{\text {a }}$ | $8.17^{\text {a }}$ | Ph: 7.52 (4), 7.68 (3,5), $7.92(2,6)$ | 2.62 |
| 3 c | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 8.53 | 7.94 | $8.01{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $8.17{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Ph: 7.49 (4), $7.64(3,5), 8.08(2,6)$ | $\mathrm{Ph}: 7.49$ (4), $7.54(3,5), 8.51(2,6)$ |
| 3d | DMSO- $d_{6}$ | $8.36{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $7.98{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $8.07^{\text {a }}$ | $8.14{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.71(\mathrm{OMe}), 5.48\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{Ph}: \\ 6.93(3,5), 7.36(2,6) \end{gathered}$ | 8.25 |
| 3 e | DMSO- $d_{6}$ | 8.32 | 7.92 | 8.02 | 8.09 | 13.96 (NH) | 8.75 |

[^0]of tetracycles $\mathbf{3}$ was achieved by combined application of standard NMR spectral techniques [10] such as NOEdifference experiments, fully ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-coupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, APT, HMQC and HMBC spectra as well as experiments with selective excitation such as 1D-TOCSY [11], 1D-HETCOR [12] and selective long-range INEPT [13, 14]. The ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR spectra were mainly recorded using the gradient selected, sensitivity enhanced HMBC sequence [15]. The obtained data show a high degree of consistency and are summarized in Table 1 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR), in Table $2\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} N M R\right)$, and in Table $3\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR).
anhydrous 1,4-dioxane ( 5 mL ). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h under stirring. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was treated with $2 M \mathrm{HCl}(15-20 \mathrm{~mL})$, stirred for 15 min , and poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 30 min , the solid product was collected by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and recrystallized. NMR data are presented in Tables 1-3.

1-Phenylpyrazolo[4',3':5,6]pyrano[2,3-b]quinoxalin$\mathbf{4 ( 1 H )}$-one ( $\mathbf{3 a}$ ). This compound was obtained in $47 \%$ yield as beige crystals ( 1 -propanol), mp 298-299 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR: CO $1698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS: $m / z 315\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,19\right), 314\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 186(28), 91(35), 77$ (30). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 68.79; H, 3.21; N, 17.83. Found: C, 68.49; H, 3.22; N, 17.62.

Table 3
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of compounds $\mathbf{3}$ (solvents as in Table 1)

| Comp | C-3 | C-3a | C-4 | C-4a | C-5a | C-6 | C-7 | C-8 | C-9 | C-9a | C-10a | C-11a | $\mathrm{Cof} \mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{Cof} \mathrm{R}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3a | 137.4 | 108.2 | 171.0 | 136.3 | 140.55 | 130.1 | 130.6 | 133.8 | 127.6 | 140.58 | 152.7 | 152.5 | Ph: 121.9 (2,6), 128.4 <br> (4), 129.8 (3,5), 136.2 (1) | - |
| 3b | 147.7 | 106.4 | 171.7 | 136.7 | 140.6 | 130.1 | 130.6 | 133.8 | 127.6 | 140.6 | $152.9^{\text {a }}$ | $152.6^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ph: } 121.7(2,6), 128.0 \\ (4), 129.7(3,5), 136.2(1) \end{gathered}$ | 13.8 (Me) |
| 3c | 150.6 | 105.5 | 171.0 | 135.5 | 142.1 | 131.1 | 130.7 | 134.0 | 127.9 | 141.7 | $153.0^{\text {a }}$ | $151.9^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ph: } 122.2(2,6), 128.4 \\ (4), 129.7(3,5), 136.6(1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ph: } 128.4(3,5), 128.6 \\ (2,6), 129.9(4), 130.8(1) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3d | $136.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $106.9^{\text {c }}$ | 170.8 | 136.5 | 140.42 | 130.0 | 130.4 | 133.6 | 127.5 | 140.43 | 152.7 | $152.7{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.4^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.1^{\mathrm{f}} \\ (\mathrm{OMe}) ; \mathrm{Ph}: 114.1(3,5), \\ 127.3(1), 129.4(2,6), \\ 159.1(4) \end{gathered}$ | (2,6), 129.9 (1), 130.8(1) |
| 3 e | $130.3^{8}$ | 107.3 | 172.8 | 136.1 | 140.0 | 130.1 | 129.8 | 133.5 | 127.5 | 141.0 | 154.0 | $160.3^{\text {g }}$ | - | - |

## EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and Methods: Melting points were determined on a Reichert-Kofler hot-stage microscope and are uncorrected. Mass spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu QP 1000 instrument (EI, 70 eV ) and on a Finnigan MAT 8230 instrument (EI, 70 eV , HRMS). IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer FTIR spectrum 1000 spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were performed at the Microanalytical Laboratory, University of Vienna, using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN Elemental Analyzer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were recorded on a Varian UnityPlus 300 spectrometer at $28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(299.95 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 75.43 \mathrm{MHz}$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) or on a Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer at $293 \mathrm{~K}(500.13$ MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 125.77 \mathrm{MHz}$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ). The centre of the solvent signal was used as an internal standard which was related to TMS with $\delta=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ in DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right), \delta=77.0 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$, and $\delta=39.5 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ in DMSO- $d_{6}$ ). ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance 500 instrument with a 'directly' detecting broadband observe probe and were referenced against external nitromethane (coaxial capillary). Systematic names according to IUPAC recommendations were generated with ACD/Name [16] and subsequently proved manually to ensure correct nomenclature within this publication [17]. Starting materials 1 were commercially available or prepared according to literature procedures: 1a [18], 1c [19], 1d [20]. Product yields were not optimized.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Tetracycles 3. Under anhydrous conditions, to a suspension of pyrazolone 1a-d ( 3 mmol ) and $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a suspension of acid chloride $2(3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in

3-Methyl-1-phenylpyrazolo[4',3':5,6]pyrano[2,3-b]quin-oxalin- $\mathbf{4}(\mathbf{1 H})$-one ( $\mathbf{3 b}$ ). This compound was obtained in75\% yield as a beige powder, mp $295-297^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR: CO $1678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS: m/z $329\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,17\right), 328\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 91$ (83), 77 (47). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 69.51; H, 3.68; N, 17.06. Found: C, 69.25; H, 3.63; N, 16.81.

1,3-Diphenylpyrazolo[4',3':5,6]pyrano[2,3-b]quinoxalin$4(1 H)$-one (3c). This compound was obtained in $45 \%$ yield as a yellowish powder (toluene-hexanes), mp 301-303 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR: CO $1676 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ MS: $m / z 391\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,20\right), 390\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 361$ (31), 91 (35), 77 (48), 51 (20). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : C, 72.83; H, 3.72; N, 14.16. Found: C, 72.81; H, 3.90; N, 14.11.

1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)pyrazolo[4',3':5,6]pyrano[2,3-b]-quinoxalin-4(1H)-one (3d). This compound was obtained in $25 \%$ yield as brownish crystals (toluene), mp $225-227^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR: CO $1687 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS: m/z 358 (M ${ }^{+}, 16$ ), 121 (100). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 67.03; H, 3.94; $\mathrm{N}, 15.63$. Found: C, $67.13 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.03; N, 15.38.

Pyrazolo[4',3':5,6]pyrano[2,3-b]quinoxalin-4(1H)-one (3e). Under anhydrous conditions, a solution of 3d and excess TFA ( 5 mL ) was stirred overnight at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After removal of TFA under reduced pressure, the residue was dried over solid KOH for 1 h . Then ice-cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-acetone ( $2: 1,5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added and the resulting suspension was filtered and the solid was washed with cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give the unsubstituted parent compound 3 e in $81 \%$ yield as a brownish powder, $\mathrm{mp}>320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR: CO $1674 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS: $m / z 238\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 110$ (80), 53 (28). HRMS Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 238.0491. Found: 238.0487. NMR data are presented in Tables 1-3.

3-Methylquinoxalin-2(1H)-one (4). $o$-Phenylenediamine (21.63 $\mathrm{g}, 200 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyruvic acid ( $17.61 \mathrm{~g}, 200 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and ethanol $(96 \%$,

700 mL ) were refluxed for 90 min . The reaction mixture was allowed to gain room temperature. Upon staying in the refrigerator overnight, the product separated as orange crystals, which were filtered off and washed with cold ethanol to yield $19.90 \mathrm{~g}(62 \%)$ of 4, mp 237-239 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. [21] 241-243 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.37(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6), 7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-$ 8), $7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-7), 7.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-5), 12.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 20.4$ (Me, ${ }^{1} J=128.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 115.2 (C-8, $\left.{ }^{1} J=163.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{C}-8, \mathrm{H}-6)=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.9\left(\mathrm{C}-6,{ }^{1} J=163.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{C}-6, \mathrm{H}-8)=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}-5,{ }^{1} J=161.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{H}-7)=7.7\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 129.2\left(\mathrm{C}-7,{ }^{1} J=163.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{C}-7, \mathrm{H}-5)=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.6(\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{a})$, $131.9(\mathrm{C}-8 \mathrm{a}), 154.9\left(\mathrm{C}-2,{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{Me})=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 159.1\left(\mathrm{C}-3,{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{C}-\right.$ $3, \mathrm{Me})=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR ( 50 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta-233.0(\mathrm{~N}-1),-$ 53.5 (N-4); MS: m/z 160 (M ${ }^{+}, 82$ ), 132 (97), 131 (100).

2-Chloro-3-methylquinoxaline (5). Quinoxalinone 4 (11.69 $\mathrm{g}, 73 \mathrm{mmol})$ and excess $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ were refluxed for 90 min . Under reduced pressure, $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ was distilled off and the residue was poured onto ice-water ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Upon treatment of the solution with concd. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{pH}$ was brought to 3-4) and standing for 3 h , the red-brownish product 5 separated ( $6.17 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%$ ), mp $91-93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. [22] $90-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$, 7.68* (1H, m, H-7), 7.71* (1H, m, H-6), 7.94* ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 7.98* $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-5)$; * the differenttiation between $\mathrm{H}-5 / 8$ and $\mathrm{H}-6 / 7$ was not unambiguously possible; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 23.2$ ( $\mathrm{Me},{ }^{1} J=129.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 128.1* (C-8), 128.4* (C-5), 129.9* (C-7), 130.0* (C-6), 140.8* (C-8a), 140.9* (C-4a), 147.7 (C-2, ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{Me})$ $=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 152.7\left(\mathrm{C}-3,{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{Me})=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$; * the differentiation between $\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{a} / 8 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{C}-5 / 8$, and $\mathrm{C}-6 / 7$ was not unambiguously possible; ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-67.3(\mathrm{~N}-1),-50.6(\mathrm{~N}-4)$; MS: $m / z 180\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 12\right), 178\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 39\right), 143(100), 102(29)$.

Ethyl 3-Oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxaline-2-carboxylate (6). To a suspension of diethyl oxomalonate ( $26.56 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol $(96 \%, 250 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added o-phenylenediamine $(16.44 \mathrm{~g}, 150$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was refluxed for 2 h and the hot solution was filtered. After addition of water ( 400 mL ) the mixture was refluxed again until the solution became clear. This solution was filtered again, and upon standing at room temperature overnight, product 6 crystallized as yellowish needles ( $24.51 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ), mp $176-178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. [23] $175.5-176.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.46\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-7), 7.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-$ 5), $7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6), 7.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-8), 12.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3},{ }^{1} J=127.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right.$ $=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 62.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2},{ }^{1} J=148.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 116.4$ (C-5), 124.9 (C-7), 130.1 (C-8), 132.0 (C-8a), 132.2 (C-4a), 132.7 (C-6), 148.5 (C-2), 154.6 (C-3), 163.4 (CO); ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR ( 50 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-225.0(\mathrm{~N}-4),-40.3(\mathrm{~N}-1)$; MS: $m / z 218\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 56\right), 174$ (31), 146 (100), 145 (34), 144 (35), 118 (68), 90 (58).

Ethyl 3-Chloroquinoxaline-2-carboxylate (7). A mixture of quinoxalinone $6(18.95 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \mathrm{mmol})$ and excess $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 30 min . Under reduced pressure, $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ was distilled off and the residue was poured onto ice-water ( $\sim 300$ mL ). Upon neutralization with concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, product 7 separated and was then collected by filtration. To increase the yield, the filtrate was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were washed once with water ( 10 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. Compound 7 was obtained as a beige solid in a total yield of $95 \%(19.11 \mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{mp} 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. [24] $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.46\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.55\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.80^{*}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-7)$, 7.85* (1H, m, H-6), 8.03* (1H, m, H-5), 8.15* (1H, m, H-8); * the
differentiation between $\mathrm{H}-5 / 8$ and $\mathrm{H}-6 / 7$ was not unambiguously possible; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}=127.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 62.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2},{ }^{1} J=148.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{J} J\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=4.5\right.$ Hz ), 128.3* (C-5), 129.6* (C-8), 130.9* (C-7), 132.5* (C-6), 139.6* (C-8a), 142.1* (C-4a), 143.8* (C-2), 144.7* (C-3), 163.8 (CO); * the differentiation between $\mathrm{C}-2 / 3, \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{a} / 8 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{C}-5 / 8$, and $\mathrm{C}-6 / 7$ was not unambiguously possible; ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-61.2(\mathrm{~N}-$ 4), -47.7 ( $\mathrm{N}-1$ ); MS: $238\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right), 236\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 8\right), 192(23), 166$ (33), 165 (21), 164 (100), 163 (40), 129 (42), 102 (50), 75 (21).

3-Chloroquinoxaline-2-carboxylic Acid (8). To ester $\mathbf{7}$ (9.94 $\mathrm{g}, 42 \mathrm{mmol})$, dissolved in aqueous methanol ( $80 \%, 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), was added $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 h . Then the solution was acidified with $2 M$ HCl and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the crude acid $\mathbf{8}$, which was used 'as is' in the next reaction, mp 142-145 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. [23] $146-147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); MS: $m / z 210\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 13\right)$, $208\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 39\right), 166$ (34), 164 (100), 129 (80), 102 (95), 76 (32), 75 (40), 50 (27).
3-Chloroquinoxaline-2-carbonyl Chloride (2). A suspension of the crude acid $\mathbf{8}$ in toluene ( 30 mL ) was treated with DMF ( 1 drop) and with excess $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h . The solution was filtered, and the $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ was removed under reduced pressure. Upon further concentration under reduced pressure, the acid chloride $\mathbf{2}$ separated as yellow crystals ( $3.46 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \%$ ), mp 106-110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. [25] 117-119 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, lit. [26] $127{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); IR: CO $1778 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.92^{*}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-7), 7.9^{*}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6), 8.0^{*}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{H}-5), 8.24^{*}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-8)$; * the differentiation between $\mathrm{H}-5 / 8$ and $\mathrm{H}-6 / 7$ was not unambiguously possible; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 128.3^{*}(\mathrm{C}-5), 130.1^{*}(\mathrm{C}-8), 131.7^{*}(\mathrm{C}-7), 134.3^{*}(\mathrm{C}-6)$, 139.4* (C-8a), 142.8* (C-4a), 142.9* (C-2), 143.0* (C-3), 166.0 (CO); * the differentiation between C-2/3, C-4a/8a, C-5/8, and C-6/7 was not unambiguously possible; ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-$ 57.8 (N-4), -40.6 (N-1); MS: m/z $230\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 228\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 10\right), 226$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 15\right), 193$ (17), 191 (47), 165 (31), 163 (100), 102 (49), 75 (19). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 47.61 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.78 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.34$. Found: C, 47.79; H, 2.01; N, 12.39 .
Acknowledgement. We are grateful to Dr. L. Jirovetz for recording the mass spectra.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-7)=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J(\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-8)=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{5} J(\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-9)=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{H}-8)=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J(\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{H}-9)=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}-8, \mathrm{H}-9)=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}-8, \mathrm{H}-9)=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

